

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 20 2004

OFFICIAL

MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC
Patent Attorneys
4140 Parklake Avenue, Suite 600, Raleigh, NC 27612
P.O. Box 37428, Raleigh, NC 27627
919-854-1400
Facsimile 919-854-1401

TELECOPIER TRANSMISSION
COVER SHEET

Date: February 20, 2004

File Number: 8194-453

Telecopier No.: 703-872-9306

Telephone No.: 703-305-4023

To: Examiner Jean B. Corrielus, GAU 2631

Company: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

From: Mitchell S. Bigel

Number of Pages: 5

Return fax to: sef

If there is a problem with this transmission, please call (919) 854-1400. Our fax number is (919) 854-1401.

Attached for filing in USSN 09/703,037 is a "Request for Reconsideration".

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office via facsimile number 703-872-9306, on February 20, 2004.



Susan E. Freedman

Date of Signature: February 20, 2004

Confidentiality Note

The information contained in this facsimile message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby prohibited. If you have received this telecopy in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the address above via the United States Postal Service. THANK YOU.

FEB. 20. 2004 2:55PM

MBS&S 919 854-1401

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NO. 7151 P. 2

#7
2/24/04
BS

FEB 20 2004

OFFICIAL

Attorney Docket No. 8194-453

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Khatibzadeh et al.

Confirmation No.: 8911

Serial No.: 09/703,037

Group Art Unit: 2631

Filed: October 31, 2000

Examiner: Jean B. Corrielus

For: IQ MODULATION SYSTEMS AND METHODS THAT USE SEPARATE
PHASE AND AMPLITUDE SIGNAL PATHS

February 20, 2004

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Sir:

Applicants provide the present Request for Reconsideration to address the issues raised in the Office Action mailed February 6, 2004.

It is not believed that an extension of time and/or additional fee(s)-including fees for net addition of claims-are required, beyond those that may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. In the event, however, that an extension of time is necessary to allow consideration of this paper, such an extension is hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a). Any additional fees believed to be due in connection with this paper may be charged to our Deposit Account No. 50-0220.

REMARKS

Applicants appreciate the thorough examination that is reflected in the Official Action of February 6, 2004. Applicants also appreciate the Examiner's indication that Claims 11-20 and 30-38 are allowed, and that Claims 2-5 and 22-25 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Frankly, it would be straightforward to cancel rejected Claims 1, 6-10, 21 and 26-29, to place the present application in condition for allowance. Applicants have not done so, however, because Applicants respectfully submit that the primary cited reference, EP 0 998 088 A2 to Pakonen Hannu, actually teaches away from the recitations of independent Claims 1 and 21,